Oligopoly Theory (2) Quantity Competition

Aim of this lecture

- (1) To understand the concept of quantity competition
- (2) To understand the ideas of strategic substitutes and complements
- (3) To understand the relationship between the stability of Cournot equilibrium and comparative statistics

Outline of the Second Lecture

- 2-1 Monopoly
- 2-2 Price or Quantity Competition
- 2-3 Cournot Model
- 2-4 Strategic Complement and Strategic Substitute
- 2-5 Stability Condition
- 2-6 Stability Condition and Comparative Statistics
- 2-7 Stability Condition and Uniqueness of the Equilibrium
- 2-8 Cournot Limit Theorem and Perfect Competition

Monopoly Producer



Equilibrium of Monopoly Producer



Marshallian View of the Market Quantity \rightarrow Price



Walrasian View of the Market Price \rightarrow Quantity



Why does not the monopolist choose both quantity and price?



Why does not the monopolist choose both quantity and price?



Duopoly

- Suppose that there are two or more firms in the market
- The price depends on both its own output and the rivals' outputs.
- The output depends on both its own price and the rivals' prices.
- ⇒The competition structure depends on whether firms choose their outputs or prices.
- Quantity Competition Model (The second lecture)
- Price Competition Model (The third lecture)
- Which model should we use ? (The third lecture)

Cournot Duopoly

- Firm 1 and firm 2 compete in a homogeneous product market (product differentiation is fully discussed in the 8th lecture and is also discussed briefly in the third lecture).
- Each firm i independently chooses its output $Y_i \in [0, \infty)$. Each firm maximizes its own profit $\Pi_{i.}$
- $\Pi_i = P(Y)Y_i C_i(Y_i)$, P: Inverse demand function,
- Y: Total output, Y_i: Firm i's output, C_i: Firm i's cost function
- $P' < 0, C' > 0, C'' \ge 0$ (Henceforth, I assume these unless I explicitly make contradicting assumptions.)

Reaction Function

Reaction function of firm $1 \sim R_1(Y_2)$: Given the output of firm 2, $Y_{2,} Y_1 = R_1(Y_2)$ implies that Y_1 is the optimal (payoff-maximizing) output for firm 1.

The first-order condition

- $P + P'Y_1 = C_1' \Rightarrow R_1(Y_2)$ is derived from this first-order condition.
- The second-order condition
- $2P' + P''Y_1 C_1'' < 0$

Henceforth, I assume the second-order conditions unless I explicitly make contradicting assumptions.

Cournot Equilibrium

Nash Equilibrium of the Cournot Model ~Cournot Equilibrium

Derivation of the Cournot Equilibrium Solving P + P'Y₁ = C₁', P + P'Y₂ =C₂'

Residual Demand



Derivation of Reaction Function Ρ residual demand MR MC **Y**₂[,] ſ $Y_{1'}$



slope of the reaction curve

 $P+P'Y_1 - C_1' = 0 \rightarrow dY_1/dY_2 = - (P'+P''Y_1)/(2P'+P''Y_1 - C_1'')$

- P'+P"Y₁ > 0 ⇒upward sloping of the reaction curve (strategic complements)
- ~an increase in the rival's output increases the marginal revenue of the firm: unnatural in the context of Cournot competition, but it is possible.
- P'+P"Y₁< 0⇒downward sloping of the reaction curve (strategic substitutes)
- an increase in the rival's output reduces the marginal revenue of the firm
- In this course, I assume P'+P"Y₁ < 0 unless I make explicit contradicting assumptions.



Reaction Curve of Firm 1



General Discussions on the Slope of Reaction Curve

Payoff function of player 1 $U_1(a_1,a_2)$ The first order condition $\partial U_1/\partial a_1=0$ The second order condition $\partial^2 U_1/\partial a_1^2 < 0$

$\mathsf{R}_1' = - \, \partial^2 \mathsf{U}_1 / \partial \mathsf{a}_1 \partial \mathsf{a}_2 / \partial^2 \mathsf{U}_1 / \partial \mathsf{a}_1^2$

Whether strategies are strategic complement or substitute depends on the sign of the cross derivative.

Cournot Equilibrium



the superscript C denotes Cournot Equilibrium

A case of strategic complements



Existence of the Equilibrium

- From the definitions of the reaction function and the Cournot equilibrium, we have
- $R_1(R_2(Y_1^C)) = Y_1^C, R_2(R_1(Y_2^C)) = Y_2^C$
- We can use the fixed point theorem to show the existence of the Cournot equilibrium.
- There exists an equilibrium under moderate conditions, either under strategic substitutes or complements.
- A key property is continuity of the reaction function.



Non-existence of the pure strategy equilibrium





Non-existence of the pure strategy equilibrium? Y_2 Cournot equilibrium reaction curve of firm 2 reaction curve of firm 1

Y₁

Existence of the Equilibrium

- $R_1(R_2(Y_1^{(1)})) = Y_1^{(2)}, R_2(R_1(Y_2^{(1)})) = Y_2^{(2)}$
- Substituting $Y_i^{(1)}=Y_i^C$ into the above system yields $Y_i^{(2)}=Y_i^C$.
- What happens if we substitute $Y_i^{(1)} \neq Y_i^C$ into the above system?
- →The discussions on the stability and the uniqueness of the equilibrium.

Stability

$$|R_{1}(R_{2}(Y_{1})) - Y_{1}^{C}| < |Y_{1} - Y_{1}^{C}| |R_{2}(R_{1}(Y_{2})) - Y_{2}^{C}| < |Y_{2} - Y_{2}^{C}|$$

- ~Starting from the non-equilibrium point and consider the best reply dynamics
- →The distance from the equilibrium point is decreasing
- ⇒Cournot equilibrium is stable.



Unstable Cournot Equilibrium



A sufficient condition for the stability of the Cournot equilibrium

- |R_i'| < 1 The absolute value of the reaction curve is smaller than one.
- ~One unit increase of the rival's output changes the optimal output of the firm less than one unit.
- e.g., |R₁'| < 1
- Frim2's output is $10 \rightarrow$ Firm 1's optimal output is 5
- Frim2's output is 5 \rightarrow Firm 1's optimal output is 7
- e.g., |R₁'| > 1
- Frim2's output is $10 \rightarrow$ Firm 1's optimal output is 5
- Frim2's output is 5→Firm 1's optimal output is 11

Why do we often assume the stability condition?

Cournot Model is a One-Shot Game. It seems nonsense to discuss the dynamic adjustment. However, most IO papers assume this condition.

Why?

- (1) This condition is plausible since it is satisfied under standard settings of cost and demand conditions.
- (2) evolution, learning
- (3) for comparative statistics

(4) uniqueness

Stability condition is satisfied under moderate conditions

- (1) |R_i'| < 1 is satisfied under the assumptions of strategic substitutes, non-decreasing marginal cost, and decreasing demand function.
- From the first-order condition P+P'Y₁ -C₁'= 0, we have $R_1' = dY_1/dY_2 = - (P'+P''Y_1)/(2P'+P''Y_1 - C_1'')$ strategic substitutes (P'+P''Y_1<0) , $C_1'' \ge 0$, P' < 0 $\rightarrow -1 < R_1' < 0$
- It is quite natural to assume the stability condition.

Stability condition is satisfied under moderate conditions

- (1) $|R_i| < 1$ is satisfied under the plausible assumptions.
- Payoff function of player 1 $U_1(a_1,a_2)$
- The first order condition $\partial U_1 / \partial a_1 = 0$

$$\mathsf{R}_{1}' = - \partial^{2}\mathsf{U}_{1}/\partial \mathsf{a}_{1}\partial \mathsf{a}_{2}/\partial^{2}\mathsf{U}_{1}/\partial \mathsf{a}_{1}^{2}$$

 $|R_i| < 1 \rightarrow cross$ effect is weaker than its own effect ~ naturally satisfied.

The stability condition and comparative statistics

- (3)So as to obtain clear results of comparative statistics, we usually assume the stability condition.
 - Without the stability condition, the results of comparative statistics often become ambiguous.
 - It is nonsense to derive counter-intuitive results under the assumption |R_i'| > 1, which is not satisfied under plausible cost and demand conditions.

Question: Suppose that firm 2's MC is constant. Consider the effect of a reduction of firm 2's marginal cost on firm 2's reaction curve (A or B?).



The relationship between firm 2's cost and the Cournot equilibrium

A decrease in the firm 2's marginal cost raises firm 2's output and reduces firm 1's output through strategic interaction.

firm 1's

reaction curve

 Y_2

Unstable Cournot Equilibrium



Caution

When you write a theoretical paper and find a counter-intuitive result, you should check whether or not the problem you formulate satisfies the stability condition. If not, the result is not a surprising result and most referees may think that it is obvious.

The uniqueness of the equilibrium and the stability condition

(4) If the stability condition is satisfied globally, the equilibrium is unique (only one equilibrium exists).

We can show it by using Contraction Mapping Theorem.

(Remark) The stability condition is sufficient, but not necessarily condition for the uniqueness of the equilibrium.

Stable Cournot Equilibrium Y_2 reaction curve of firm 1 reaction curve of firm 2 Y_2^C () Y_1

Does unstable case also yield the unique Cournot equilibrium?







Question: Among three points A, B, and C, O yields the largest profit of firm 2.



Question: Which yields larger profit of firm 2, A or B?



Firm 2's iso-profit curve profit



Cournot Equilibrium and Efficiency



Welfare Implications

- Each firm maximizes its own profit with respect to its output, without considering the negative effect on the rival.
- →The output at the Cournot equilibrium is excessive from the viewpoint of total profits maximization.
- However, at the Cournot equilibrium, $P+P'Y_1 C_1'=0$, so $P C_1' > 0$.
- \rightarrow The output at the Cournot equilibrium is insufficient from the viewpoint of total social surplus maximization (total social surplus maximization is achieved when P = $C_1' = C_2'$).

Cournot Oligopoly

- Firm 1, firm 2, ..., firm n compete in a homogeneous product market.
- Each firm i independently chooses its output $Y_i \in [0, \infty)$.
- Each firm maximizes its own profit $\Pi_{i.}$
- $\Pi_i = P(Y)Y_i C_i(Y_i)$, P: Inverse demand function,
- Y: Total output, Y_i: Firm i's output, C_i: Firm i's cost function
- $P' < 0, C' > 0, C'' \ge 0$

Exactly the same model except for the number of the firms

Cournot Equilibrium

Derivation of the Cournot equilibrium Solving the system of equations $P+P'Y_1 = C_1'$, $P+P'Y_2 = C_2'$,..., $P+P'Y_n = C_n'$

If firms are symmetric (all firm have the same cost function), the symmetric equilibrium is derived from $P+P'Y_1 = C_1'$, $Y=nY_1$ (or equivalently $Y_{-1}=(n-1)Y_1$ where $Y_{-1} \equiv \Sigma_{j \neq 1} Y_j$, total output of the rivals)



Cournot Limit Theorem

The first-order condition for firm 1

- $P+P'Y_{1}=C_{1}'$
- $P(1+P'Y/P \cdot Y_1/Y)=C_1'$
- $P(1-\eta^{-1} \cdot Y_1/Y)=C_1'$ (η : price elasticity of the demand)
- $\eta \rightarrow \infty$ $P \rightarrow C_1'$ (the world of price taker)
- $Y_1/Y \rightarrow 0$ $P \rightarrow C_1'$ (the world of Cournot Limit theorem)
- Cournot Limit Theorem~ If the number of firms is sufficiently large (if the market share of each firm is sufficiently small), the price is sufficiently close to the marginal cost of each firm. Diigopoly Theory

Marginal Revenue for Small Firms



perfect competition

- Price Taker: The player who chooses his/her behavior given the price exogenously.
- In the context of quantity competition, the firm is a price taker if it thinks that the price remains unchanged even if it increases the output.
- In fact, unless the price elasticity of the demand is infinity, an increase in the output of each player reduces the price, whether the player is small or large.
- The explanation that a firm is a price taker when its size is too small to affect the price seems ridiculous.

Micro Foundation of Perfect Competition

- In the Cournot model, all firms are price makers (they recognize that P'<0).
- However, if the number of the firms is sufficiently large, the equilibrium price is approximately equal to the perfectly competitive equilibrium price.
- Perfect competition equilibrium ≒Cournot equilibrium in the large economy ~ Perfect competition model is an approximation of the real world when the number of firms is sufficiently large.